BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)	CASE NO. PAC-E-24-04
OF ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER FOR)	
AUTHORITY TO INCREASE ITS RATES)	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
AND CHARGES IN IDAHO AND)	NIKKI L. KOBLIHA
APPROVAL OF PROPOSED)	REDACTED
ELECTRIC SERVICE SCHEDULES AND)	
RECULATIONS)	

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

CASE NO. PAC-E-24-04

1 I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS

- 2 Q. Please state your name, business address, and present
- 3 position with PacifiCorp d/b/a Rocky Mountain Power (the
- 4 "Company").
- 5 A. My name is Nikki L. Kobliha and my business address is
- 6 825 NE Multnomah Street, Suite 1900, Portland, Oregon
- 7 97232. I am currently employed as Senior Vice President,
- 8 Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer for PacifiCorp.
- 9 Q. Please describe your education and professional
- 10 experience.
- 11 A. I received a Bachelor of Business Administration with a
- 12 concentration in Accounting from the University of
- 13 Portland in 1994. I became a Certified Public Accountant
- in 1996. I joined PacifiCorp in 1997 and have taken on
- 15 roles of increasing responsibility before being
- 16 appointed Chief Financial Officer in 2015. I am
- 17 responsible for all aspects of PacifiCorp's finance,
- 18 accounting, income tax, internal audit, Securities and
- 19 Exchange Commission reporting, treasury, credit risk
- 20 management, pension, and other investment management
- 21 activities.
- 22 II. SUMMARY AND PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
- 23 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- 24 A. My testimony supports Rocky Mountain Power's overall
- 25 cost of capital recommendation, including the capital

1 structure and the cost of debt.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 2 Q. Please summarize the purpose of your testimony.
- A. Regarding the overall cost of capital recommendation, I
 sponsor the Company's proposed capital structure with a
 common equity level of 50.00 percent and provide support
 demonstrating why that level is appropriate at this time
 and how this capitalization benefits customers.

I explain the changes in the Company's credit ratings since the last rate proceeding and the changes to the Company's financial metrics in 2023. I discuss the support needed to achieve Standard & Poor's ("S&P") and Moody's credit metric thresholds to maintain the Company's credit rating. I summarize the financial plan that has been established to provide the necessary financial support for PacifiCorp at this time, including the Company's changes to its capital plan and the support provided by Berkshire Hathaway Energy ("BHE"). I further demonstrate why the requested capital structure is an important component of that plan to support the Company's financial metrics. Finally, I explain why this form of regulatory support benefits customers. I also support the Company's proposed cost of long-term debt of 5.08 percent and cost of preferred stock of 6.75 percent.

Q. What overall cost of capital do you recommend for the Company?

A. The Company proposes an overall cost of capital of 7.69

percent. This cost includes the return on equity

recommendation of 10.3 percent as supported by the

direct testimony of Company witness Ann E. Bulkley and

the capital structure and costs set forth in Table 1.

Table 1: Hypothetical Overall Cost of Capital

			Weighted
	% of		Ave Cost
Component	Total	Cost %	%
Long-Term Debt	49.99%	5.08%	2.54%
Preferred Stock	0.01%	6.75%	0.00%
Common Stock			
Equity	50.00%	10.30%	5.15%
	100.00%		7.69%

8 Q. What time period does your analysis cover?

9 The costs of the long-term debt and preferred stock are Α. 10 measured over the 12-month period ending December 31, 11 2024 test period ("Test Period") in this proceeding 12 using an average of the five quarter-ending balances 13 spanning the 12-month period ending December 31, 2024, 14 based on known and measurable changes through December 15 31, 2024. The capital structure for the Company in this 16 case is a hypothetical capital structure set at a level 17 expected to enable the Company to maintain its current credit ratings. This is a departure from the Company's 18 historical practice of basing the capital structure on 19

- 1 the average of the five quarter-ending balances, as
- 2 further discussed below.

3 III. PACIFICORP'S HISTORICAL CAPITAL STRUCTURES

4 Q. How does PacifiCorp's historical actual capital 5 structure compare to what is currently authorized?

As shown in Table 2 below, the Company's historical 6 7 equity ratio has remained relatively flat in the 2018 8 through 2023 time period, averaging just below 52 9 percent. In the Company's last general rate case, the 10 Idaho Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") approved a settlement that was silent on return on 11 12 equity, capital structure, and return on rate base.1

Table 2: Historical Actual Capital Structure

As of	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
December 311:						
Long-Term	47.89%	48.36%	48.49%	47.69%	46.69%	49.95%
Debt						
Preferred	0.02%	0.02%	0.01%	0.01%	0.01%	0.01%
Stock						
Common Equity	52.09%	51.62%	51.50%	52.30%	53.30%	50.04%
Total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

 1 Five quarter-end average % Capital Structure for trailing 12-month period ending December 31 of each period.

¹ In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power's Application for Authority to Increase its Rates and Charges in Idaho and Approval of Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Regulations. Case No. PAC-E-21-07. Order No. 35277 (Dec. 30, 2021).

Q. Is the Company proposing a capital structure that differs from its forecast capital structure?

3 Yes. Through 2022, the Company managed to a capital structure near 52 percent and it was not until the recent 4 5 events related to the 2020 wildfires that the equity ratio dropped to 50 percent. As a result of incremental 6 7 wildfire liability accruals throughout 2023 8 settlements that were reached in December 2023, coupled 9 with the Company's sizable capital expenditure plan, the 10 Company's actual capital structure has become, and will 11 continue to be for the foreseeable future, more highly 12 leveraged and the Company's financial risk has increased 13 significantly.

Table 3 presents the Company's forecast capital structure for 2024 and 2025.

Table 3: Forecast Capital Structure

14

15

16

17

18

19

Forecast as of December 311:	2024	2025
Long-Term Debt	56.06%	55.57%
Preferred Stock	0.01%	0.01%
Common Equity	43.93%	44.42%
Total	100.00%	100.00%

 $^{\bar{1}}$ Five quarter-end average % Capital Structure for 12-month period ending December 31 of each period.

The result of these circumstances is that the Company faces significant risk of a further credit ratings downgrade at its forecast capitalization. Further, the Company's access to the capital markets is challenged as

a result of the risk associated with wildfires. 1 2 Therefore, the Company is seeking regulatory support 3 through a ratemaking capital structure that will provide the necessary financial support for its current credit 4 ratings. Supporting the Company's credit ratings, and 5 the ability to access capital in the market when it is 6 required, on reasonable terms, provides benefits to 7 8 PacifiCorp's customers, particularly at a time when 9 significant capital investment is required in the system 10 to meet ongoing operational requirements and policy 11 objectives.

IV. PACIFICORP'S CREDIT METRICS

13 Q. What are PacifiCorp's current credit ratings?

14 A. PacifiCorp's current ratings are shown in Table 4.

Table 4: PacifiCorp Credit Ratings

	Moody's	S&P's
Senior Secured Debt	A2	A
Issuer	Baa1	BBB+
Outlook	Stable	Negative

15 Q. How does the maintenance of PacifiCorp's current credit

16 rating benefit customers?

12

17 A. First, the credit rating of a utility has a direct impact
18 on the price that a utility pays and the ability to
19 attract the capital necessary to fund its current and
20 future operating needs. Many institutional investors

have fiduciary responsibilities to their clients, and are typically not permitted to purchase non-investment grade (i.e., rated below BBB-/Baa3) securities or in some cases even securities rated below a single A rating. A further credit rating downgrade has the potential for the Company's Senior Secured Debt ratings to drop below an A rating, thus further limiting the Company's access to capital. A solid credit rating directly benefits customers by reducing the immediate and future borrowing costs related to the financing needed to support regulatory obligations.

2.1

Second, credit ratings are an estimate of the probability of default by the issuer on each rated security. Lower ratings equate to higher risks and higher costs of debt. The Great Recession of 2008-2009 provides a clear and compelling example of the benefits of the Company's credit rating because the Company was able to issue new long-term debt during the midst of the financial turmoil. Other lower-rated utilities were shut out of the market and could not obtain new capital.

Third, the Company has a near constant need for short-term liquidity as well as periodic long-term debt issuances. PacifiCorp pays significant amounts daily to suppliers whom we count on to provide necessary goods and services, such as fuel, energy, and inventory. Being

- unable to access funds can risk the ability to provide
 customers with energy, the successful completion of
 necessary and critical capital infrastructure projects
 and would increase the chance of outages and service
 failures over the long term.
- PacifiCorp's creditworthiness, as reflected in its credit ratings, will strongly influence its ability to attract capital in the competitive markets and the resulting costs of that capital.
- 10 Q. Please summarize the Company's historical credit
 11 metrics.
- 12 Confidential Table 5 below presents the Company's cash from operations pre-working capital divided by debt 13 ("CFO Pre-WC/Debt") and funds from operations divided by 14 15 ("FFO/Debt") metrics for the period from 2019 through 2024. These are the key metrics relied upon by 16 17 Moody's and S&P. As shown in this table, the Company's 2023 actual metrics are in the low end of the target 18 19 range for Moody's. The Company's forecast metrics for 20 2024 are in the range for Moody's and 21 range for S&P. These metrics are on the low side but should be sufficient for the current credit 22 ratings of BBB+/Baa, as long as the Moody's metrics start 23 to improve. 24

Confidential	Table	5:	PacifiCorp's	Historical	Credit
			Metrics		

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Forecast ³
CFO Pre- WC/Debt (Moody's) 1	18.4%	18.2%	21.4%	21.0%		
Moody's Guidance	19-20%	19-20%	19-20%	19-20%		
FFO/Debt (S&P) ²	17.5%	17.4%	21.9%	22.2%		
S&P Guidance	14-16%	14-16%	14-16%	14-16%		

For 2019 through 2022, CFO Pre-WC/Debt are from Moody's. For 2023 and 2024, Moody's metrics are estimated by PacifiCorp. All years reflect adjustments for wildfire accruals, settlements, wildfire insurance and net power costs.

Q. Please summarize the credit rating agencies' perspectives on the current business risk of PacifiCorp.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

. In June 2023, S&P downgraded PacifiCorp's issuer credit rating to BBB+ from A and lowered PacifiCorp's senior secured credit rating to A from A+. S&P also revised the outlook on PacifiCorp to negative from stable. The negative outlook on PacifiCorp reflects the likelihood that S&P could lower the ratings of PacifiCorp by one or more notches over the next 24 months. Furthermore, S&P revised their assessment of PacifiCorp's group status in the BHE group to strategically important from core. This

 $^{^2}$ For 2019 through 2022, FFO/Debt metrics are from S&P. For 2023 and 2024, S&P metrics are estimated by PacifiCorp and reflect adjustments for wildfire accruals.

³ Metric calculations based on PacifiCorp's proposed 50/50 capital structure in this case.

1	was based on S&P's belief that BHE would no longer
2	support PacifiCorp under all foreseeable circumstances.
3	A strategically important group rating raises
4	PacifiCorp's credit rating by three notches over
5	PacifiCorp's stand-alone credit profile of BB+. In that
6	report, S&P noted that:
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	To incorporate the increasing event risk that may depress credit metrics over our forecasts associated with the potential litigations, we revised our financial policy modifier to negative from neutral. Overall, we assess PacifiCorp's stand-alone credit profile (SACP) to 'bb+', reflecting our revised view of PacifiCorp's business risk profile and financial policy modifier. ²
16	Following the wildfire settlements in December 2023,
17	S&P affirmed its rating of PacifiCorp at BBB+ with a
18	negative outlook. In that report, S&P noted that the
19	negative outlook:
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	reflects the likelihood that we can lower its ratings over the next 24 months depending on legal developments surrounding wildfires in the company's service territory. Currently, we expect the company's funds from operations (FFO) to debt to be 13% - 15% over our outlook

period."3 S&P further noted that "we could also lower ratings if the company's stand-

alone FFO to debt consistently weakens to

27

2829

² S&P Global Ratings, Research Update: PacifiCorp Downgraded to BBB+, Outlook Revised to Negative: Berkshire Hathaway Energy Co. Outlook Also Negative, at 2 (June 20, 2023).

Negative, at 2 (June 20, 2023).

³ S&P Global Ratings, Research Update: PacifiCorp Ratings Affirmed Following Archie Creek Settlement; Outlook Negative, at 1 (Dec. 12, 2023).

1	below	13%	or	if	Paci	fic	orp	contributes	to	а
2	future	sig	nii	fica	ant w	wild	fire	e. ⁴		

In November 2023, Moody's downgraded PacifiCorp's senior unsecured issuer rating to Baal from A3 and its first mortgage bond rating to A2 from A1. Moody's noted that it expected PacifiCorp's CFO pre-WC to debt ratio to be in the range of 16 to 17 percent beginning in 2024, which is significantly below the original expected range of 19 to 20 percent. Moody's noted that the decline:

...largely reflects the company's plan to build a cash reserve over the next five years through the suspension of annual dividends estimated at \$700 million per year to secure the funding of potential wildfire liabilities through a combination of lower capital expenditures, retaining more cash, and operating with higher leverage.⁵

Further, in December 2023, Moody's noted that wildfire risk was a significant risk for PacifiCorp and has a substantial impact on its credit profile.

Q. What other factors will affect the Company's capital structure during the period when rates will be in effect?

23 A. In addition to the ongoing financing requirements of the 24 regular operations of the business, the Company has \$9.1 25 billion in capital investments over the 2024 through

.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

⁴ *Id.*, at 2.

⁵ Moody's Rating Action: *Moody's downgrades PacifiCorp to Baal*, outlook stable, p. 1.

⁶ Moody's Investors Services, Credit Opinion, PacifiCorp, Update following a downgrade to Baal, at 1 (Dec. 4, 2023).

2 2026 timeframe. The Company's planned investments include approximately \$1.0 billion related to wildfire mitigation.

Table 6: Forecast Capital Expenditures

Capital Expenditures \$, millions)	2024	2025	2026
Total Capital Expenditures	\$3 , 215	\$2 , 814	\$3,119

4 Q. What steps is the Company taking to improve its financial metrics?

- PacifiCorp has suspended its dividend for the period 6 Α. from 2024 through 2028, which will improve retained 7 earnings and free up available cash that can be used to 8 fund the Company's ongoing capital requirements. In 9 10 addition, the Company is reviewing its capital plans to 11 restructure the timing and scope of its 12 investments. Finally, the Company is proposing that the 13 Commission authorize an equity ratio of 50 percent.
- Q. What is the projected effect of the Company's proposal on its financial metrics over the next several years?
- As shown in Confidential Table 7 below, PacifiCorp's financial plan will support the coverage ratios over the period from 2024 through 2026, with ratios in line with a BBB+ rating. The financial plan builds cash, to cover potential wildfire liabilities but may not be enough and

further downward pressure could be placed on
PacifiCorp's credit metrics.

Confidential Table 7: PacifiCorp's Projected Credit Metrics

\$, billions	2024	2025	2026
Long-term Debt			
Issuances			
Long-term Debt			
Maturities			
Proposed Common			
Equity			
FFO / Debt			
(Moody's)			

3 V. REGULATORY PRECEDENT FOR THE USE OF A HYPOTHETICAL

4 CAPITAL STRUCTURE

- Is there precedent for regulatory support in the form of a hypothetical capital structure that differs from the Company's actual capital structure?
- 8 Yes. are several examples of regulatory There 9 commissions providing regulatory support in the form of 10 a hypothetical capital structure that is composed of a 11 greater percentage of equity than a company's actual 12 capital structure. In particular, the Washington 13 Utilities and Transportation Commission ("WUTC"), the Utah Public Service Commission ("Utah Commission"), the 14 15 Regulatory Commission of Alaska ("Alaska Commission"), 16 the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") and the Louisiana Public Service Commission ("Louisiana 17 18 Commission") have all supported the financial integrity

- of the utilities that they regulate using hypothetical
- 2 capital structures in certain circumstances.
- 3 Q. Please summarize the Washington precedent regarding the
- 4 use of a hypothetical capital structure.
- 5 A. In Docket Nos. UE-170485 and UG-170486, the WUTC
- 6 established that a "hypothetical capital structure
- 7 should be reserved for circumstances including, but not
- 8 limited to, financial hardship or tight capital market
- 9 conditions."⁷
- $10\,$ Q. Please summarize the Utah precedent regarding the use of
- a hypothetical capital structure.
- 12 A. In Docket No. 99-035-10, the Company proposed a
- 13 hypothetical capital structure that was derived from the
- 14 average capitalization of comparable electric utilities
- used to develop its return on equity recommendation.8
- The Company's proposal was approved by the Commission
- finding that "the Company's sample of comparable firms
- 18 is a reasonable basis upon which to determine a
- 19 hypothetical capital structure."9

 $^{^7}$ Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, Docket Nos. UE-170485 and UG-170486 (consolidated) (April 26, 2018). at 100.

 $^{^{8}}$ Utah Public Service Commission, Docket No. 99-035-10, Order (May 24, 2000).

⁹ Td.

- Q. Please summarize the Alaska regulatory precedent with respect to the use of a hypothetical capital structure to support financial integrity.
- The Alaska Commission has routinely authorized a 4 Α. 5 hypothetical capital structure in circumstances where they determined that a company's capital structure was 6 particular, 7 impaired. Ιn the Alaska Commission 8 authorized а hypothetical capital structure for 9 Anchorage Municipal Light and Power ("ML&P") in several cases from Docket U-87-84 to Docket U-99-139 over which 10 11 time, the Alaska Commission increased ML&P's equity 12 ratio significantly from 4.5 percent to 40.4 percent equity. 10 In each case, the Alaska Commission determined 13 that the use of a hypothetical capital structure was 14 appropriate because the company's equity ratio was 15 16 impaired. In 2005, Docket No. U-05-86, the company 17 indicated that at a 40.4 percent equity ratio, it was no 18 longer impaired and that it enjoyed investment-grade 19 bond ratings. 11

 $^{^{10}}$ Regulatory Commission of Alaska, Docket No. U-10-31, Order No. 15 (August 22, 2011) (referencing Docket No. U-87-84). $^{11}\ Id.$

- Q. Please summarize the FERC precedent regarding the use of a ratemaking equity ratio that exceeds the company's actual equity ratio.
- The FERC, through Order 679, established incentive rate 4 5 for transmission investments treatment that met criteria for 6 established transmission system expansion. 12 One of the incentives considered was the use 7 8 of a hypothetical capital structure, which has been 9 approved for transmission projects meeting 10 established criteria. 13 In a recent proceeding, Missouri River Energy ("Missouri River") proposed the use of a 11 12 hypothetical capital structure, composed of 50 percent debt and 50 percent equity to finance its investment and 13 ownership in the Big Stone Project. Missouri River noted 14 15 that the use of the hypothetical capital structure 16 proposed was needed to produce a debt service coverage 17 ratio that was consistent with Missouri River's current 18 Moody's rating and that absent the capital structure, 19 the financing of Big Stone Project would result in 20 downward pressure on the company's credit rating. The approved the use of a hypothetical capital 2.1 FERC 22 structure as well as other incentives noting that the

¹² FERC, Docket No. RM06-4-000, Order No. 679 (July 20, 2006).

 $^{^{13}}$ FERC, Docket No. ER23-2284-000, Order on Transmission Rate Incentives (August 31, 2023).

- requested incentives were tailored to the risks and
 challenges of the Big Stone Project and that the
 hypothetical capital structure would help ensure the
 maintenance of the company's current credit rating. 14
- Please summarize the Louisiana Commission decision to use a ratemaking equity ratio that is higher than the company's actual equity ratio.
- 8 In Docket No. U-17282, Order No. U-17282-C, Gulf States Α. 9 Utilities Company proposed the use of an imputed equity 10 ratio of 40 percent, which was higher than the company's 11 actual equity ratio of 35 percent. The Staff of the 12 Louisiana Commission agreed to this capital structure on the basis that the 40 percent equity ratio was consistent 13 with the equity ratios of other utilities that had 14 15 investment grade first mortgage bonds. At that time, 16 Gulf States Utilities Company was not investment grade. 17 The Louisiana Commission authorized the use of the 40 18 percent equity ratio. 15

¹⁴ *Id.*, at21.

 $^{^{15}}$ Louisiana Public Service Commission, Docket No. U-17282, Order No. U-17282-C at 5 (February 4, 2004).

- 1 Q. Please summarize your conclusions regarding the
 2 regulatory precedent for the use of a hypothetical
 3 capital structure to support financial integrity.
- While the Company's actual capital structure is the most 4 5 appropriate capital structure to rely on in the normal course of business operations, as it reflects the actual 6 financing of the ongoing operations of the business, it 7 8 is reasonable and appropriate to rely on a hypothetical 9 capital structure in circumstances where there is a need 10 to support a company's credit ratings and overall access 11 to capital. Providing this level of regulatory support 12 helps to maintain the credit quality of the regulated 13 utility and ensures that the company has consistent access to capital on reasonable terms, which provides 14 15 benefits to customers.
- 16 Q. How does PacifiCorp's proposed hypothetical capital
 17 structure compare with the capital structures of the
 18 proxy group companies relied upon in Company witness Ann
 19 Bulkley's calculation of the cost of equity?
- 20 A. PacifiCorp's proposed hypothetical equity ratio of 50
 21 percent is well below the average equity ratio of the
 22 utility operating companies of the proxy group used in
 23 Company witness Bulkley's analysis. As shown in Company
 24 witness Bulkley's Exhibit No. 17, the average equity
 25 ratio for Company witness Bulkley's proxy group

- 1 companies is approximately 53.43 percent and the range
- is from 45.77 percent to 61.11 percent. Therefore,
- 3 PacifiCorp's requested equity ratio is within the range
- 4 established by Company witness Bulkley's cost of equity
- 5 study.

6 VI. FINANCING OVERVIEW

- 7 Q. How does PacifiCorp finance its electric utility
- 8 operations?
- 9 A. Generally, PacifiCorp finances its regulated utility
- operations using a mix of debt and common equity capital.
- 11 The Company can maintain its common equity capital by
- 12 retaining its earnings or by receiving an equity
- contribution from its investor. The debt portion of the
- capital structure is supplied by the issuance of long-
- term debt such as first mortgage bonds. The issuance of
- long-term debt requires a credit rating and maintaining
- 17 the Company's credit rating is critical in providing
- 18 constant and affordable access to the debt capital
- 19 markets. All of these factors assist in financing
- 20 expenditures like potential new generation resources and
- 21 associated transmission, plus wildfire mitigation
- 22 investments.

- Q. How does PacifiCorp determine the levels of common equity, debt, and preferred stock to include in its capital structure?
- As a regulated public utility, PacifiCorp has a duty and 4 5 an obligation to provide safe, adequate, and reliable service to customers in its Idaho service area while 6 prudently balancing cost and risk. Major 7 capital 8 expenditures are required in the near-term for new 9 investments to fulfill its service obligation, including 10 capital expenditures for new renewable and carbon free 11 generation resources, associated new transmission, and 12 wildfire mitigation investments. These capital 13 investments also have associated operating and 14 maintenance costs. In addition to capital investments, 15 the Company procures a significant amount of fuel and 16 energy to meet its customers electricity needs. In times 17 of extreme weather or in a wholesale market disruption, 18 this cost can be significant and, in some cases, is in 19 advance of recovery. The Company must have access to the 20 debt capital markets as well as access to lines of credit 2.1 and short-term debt to ensure that it has liquidity to 22 manage its estimated cash outflows. As part of its annual 23 business plan process, PacifiCorp reviews all of its estimated cash inflows and outflows to determine the 24 25 amount, timing, and type of new financing required to

- support these activities and provide for financial results and credit ratings that balance the cost of capital with continued access to the financial markets.
- 4 Q. Please explain PacifiCorp's need for and sources of new
 5 capital.
- PacifiCorp has a constant need for additional capital to 6 7 maintain its existing transmission, distribution and 8 generation assets, to meet its customers' needs for new 9 cost-effective transmission and renewable or carbon free 10 generation, to mitigate wildfires by making significant 11 investments in new technology and hardening 12 infrastructure, and for increased reliability, improved 13 power delivery, and safe operations. PacifiCorp also needs new capital to fund long-term debt maturities. 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

PacifiCorp expects to spend approximately \$9.1 billion in capital expenditures from 2024 through 2026 with significant investments in wildfire mitigation efforts as well as renewable energy projects and related transmission. This capital spending will require PacifiCorp to raise funds by issuing new long-term debt in the debt capital markets and retaining all its earnings.

- Q. Has PacifiCorp's access to the credit markets changed
 since the Company's last rate proceeding?
- 3 Yes. PacifiCorp has had reasonable access to the capital markets since the last rate proceeding, up until the 4 5 recent credit rating downgrade that resulted from the wildfire liability in Oregon based on an unknown class 6 size. Since that time, the Company has maintained access 7 8 to capital, however, the costs of that capital have 9 increased, reflecting the risk associated with the 10 wildfire liability and the ongoing operational risk. 11 PacifiCorp spent a significant amount of time talking 12 with its investors in the December 2023 and early January 2024 timeframe leading up to its January 2024 long-term 13 14 debt offering to provide them a detailed update on our plans to mitigate any further wildfire risk. Although 15 16 the transaction went well and PacifiCorp was able to 17 access the debt capital markets, some traditional 18 investors in PacifiCorp debt decided to not participate. 19 In addition to the measures to improve its metrics that 20 I discuss below, the Company is proposing regulatory solutions related to the escalating wildfire liability. 2.1 22 Those solutions are addressed in Company witness Joelle R. Steward's testimony. 23

- 1 Q. How is BHE providing support to PacifiCorp to improve
- 2 its metrics?
- 3 PacifiCorp has consistently benefitted from its Α. affiliation with BHE because there is no dividend 4 requirement. While historically PacifiCorp has paid 5 dividends to BHE to manage the common equity component 6 of the capital structure, in sustained periods 7 8 capital investment PacifiCorp is able to retain earnings 9 to help finance investments and forego dividend payments 10 to BHE. If PacifiCorp had publicly traded common equity, 11 it would have needed to pay a dividend that equates to 12 approximately 60% of its earnings in order to attract investors needed to fund 13 the its business. The 14 flexibility of not paying a dividend on a quarterly basis in times of a significant capital investment cycle or in 15 16 of distress, is а significant benefit. times 17 discussed previously, BHE has pledged that it will not 18 require a dividend from PacifiCorp over the next five 19 years, which will allow PacifiCorp to retain earnings to 20 finance wildfire settlements help and capital 2.1 investments.
- Q. How has the Company revised its investment plans to support its credit profile?
- 24 A. PacifiCorp has adjusted its capital investment plan over 25 the next five years, reducing the planned expenditures

1 in 2024 through 2026 by nearly \$1.5 billion when compared to previously forecasted amounts. In addition reducing the capital spending, which increases the credit metrics, the Company has refocused its capital plan in the next three years on wildfire mitigation expenses to reduce the risk of wildfire events, and on investment in the ongoing safety and reliability of the service. In addition, the Company has adjusted the timing of its investments that are required to continue to transition to clean energy resources and renewable 11 resources. The adjustment in the timing of investments will provide better support for the Company's financial profile in the short term.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

- 14 Is PacifiCorp's proposed hypothetical capital structure 15 a necessary component of the financial plan to reduce 16 the Company's financial risk and support the Company's 17 credit metrics?
- 18 Yes. The Company's proposal, to rely on a hypothetical 19 capital structure that is composed of 50 percent debt 20 and 50 percent equity will demonstrate to the credit 21 rating agencies and the market that the Company has the 22 regulatory support needed to improve its financial 23 metrics to stabilize the outlook in the short term. The 24 combination of the suspension of the Company's dividend 25 to BHE, the restructuring of its capital plan, and the

- proposed capital structure will support PacifiCorp's

 current credit metrics. As shown in Confidential Table 7

 above, this financial plan, including regulatory support

 at a 50/50 capital structure will result in credit

 metrics in the range expected by the rating agencies for

 its current credit rating.
- Q. What is the benefit to PacifiCorp's customers of providing support to the Company in the form of a hypothetical equity ratio?
- 10 Solid credit metrics will reduce PacifiCorp's financial 11 risk, which is necessary to access debt in the market on 12 reasonable terms. Simply stated, providing regulatory support in the form of the proposed hypothetical capital 13 14 structure will reduce the Company's risk profile and 15 result in lower overall financing costs for customers. 16 This is important because PacifiCorp is in the midst of 17 a period of major capital spending and investing in cost-18 effective infrastructure to provide electric service 19 that is reliable, clean, and affordable. If PacifiCorp 20 does not have consistent access to the capital markets 2.1 at reasonable costs, these borrowings and the resulting 22 costs of building new facilities become more expensive 23 than they otherwise would be. The inability to access 24 financial markets can threaten the completion 25 necessary projects, can impact safe and reliable system

- 1 operations, and result in a significant liquidity
- 2 challenge.
- 3 Q. How has the Company's strong rating historically
- 4 benefitted customers?
- 5 A. PacifiCorp has historically been able to significantly
- 6 reduce its cost of long-term debt primarily through
- 7 obtaining new financings at very attractive interest
- 8 rates. The lower cost of debt has provided benefits to
- 9 customers through a lower overall rate of return and
- 10 lower revenue requirement.
- In addition, higher-rated companies have greater
- 12 access to the long-term markets for power purchases and
- sales. This access provides these companies with more
- 14 alternatives to meet the current and future load
- 15 requirements of their customers. Additionally, a company
- with strong ratings will often avoid having to meet
- 17 costly collateral requirements that are typically
- imposed on lower-rated companies when securing power in
- 19 these markets.
- 20 Q. What type of debt does PacifiCorp use in meeting its
- 21 financing requirements?
- 22 A. PacifiCorp has completed the majority of its recent
- 23 long-term financing using secured first mortgage bonds
- issued under the Mortgage Indenture dated January 9,
- 25 1989. Exhibit No. 1, Pro Forma Cost of Long-Term Debt,

- 1 shows that, over the test period, PacifiCorp is
- 2 projected to have an average of approximately \$13.1
- 3 billion of first mortgage bonds outstanding, with an
- 4 average cost of 5.07 percent. Presently, all outstanding
- 5 first mortgage bonds bear interest at fixed rates.
- 6 Proceeds from the issuance of the first mortgage bonds
- 7 (and other financing instruments) are used to finance
- 8 the utility operation.

9

VII. FINANCING COST CALCULATIONS

- $10\,$ Q. How did you calculate the Company's embedded costs of
- 11 long-term debt and preferred stock?
- 12 A. I have calculated the embedded costs of debt and
- preferred stock as an average of the five quarter-end
- 14 cost calculations spanning the test period, beginning
- December 31, 2023, and concluding December 31, 2024.
- 16 Q. Please explain the cost of long-term debt calculation.
- 17 A. I calculated the cost of debt by issue, based on each
- debt series' interest rate and net proceeds at the
- issuance date, to produce a bond yield to maturity for
- 20 each series of debt outstanding as of each of the five
- 21 quarter-ending dates spanning the Test Period. It should
- be noted that in the event a bond was issued to refinance
- a higher cost bond, the pre-tax premium and unamortized
- costs, if any, associated with the refinancing were
- subtracted from the net proceeds of the bonds that were

- issued. Each bond yield was then multiplied by the
- 2 principal amount outstanding of each debt issue,
- 3 resulting in an annualized cost of each debt issue.
- 4 Aggregating the annual cost of each debt issue produces
- 5 the total annualized cost of debt. Dividing the total
- 6 annualized cost of debt by the total principal amount of
- 7 debt outstanding produces the weighted average cost for
- 8 all debt issues.
- 9 Q. Please describe the changes to the amount of outstanding
- 10 long-term debt between December 31, 2023, and December
- 11 **31, 2024.**
- 12 A. Approximately \$425 million and \$166.5 million of the
- 13 Company's fixed rate and variable rate long-term debt,
- 14 respectively, will mature during this period and I have
- therefore removed this debt when appropriate in the
- determination of the proposed average cost of debt. As
- 17 reflected in Exhibit No. 1, Pro Forma Cost of Long-Term
- 18 Debt, the Company added new fixed rate long-term debt
- during the period, a five-, seven-, 10- and 30-year split
- 20 term offering totaling \$3.8 billion was issued in
- 21 January 2024.

- Q. Regarding the total \$3.8 billion of long-term issuances in January 2024, what were the interest rates, credit spreads and all-in cost of debt for each of the new First Mortgage bond series?
- 5 A. See Table 8 below for the summary details including the
 6 United States ("U.S.") Treasury Benchmark rates, credit
 7 spreads and additions to the all-in spread for actual
 8 issuance costs for each of the new approximate five-,
 9 seven-, 10- and 30-year term first mortgage bond series
 10 issuances from January 2024.

Table 8: \$3.8 Billion PacifiCorp Long-Term Debt Issuance

\$3.8b PacifiCorp Long-Term Debt Issuance in January 2024									
% Cost of Debt Summary:									
	2029 2031 2034 2055								
	Bonds	Bonds	Bonds	Bonds					
Series	First	First	First	First					
	Mortgage	Mortgage	Mortgage	Mortgage					
	Bonds	Bonds due	Bonds	Bonds					
	due	2/15/31	due	due					
	2/15/29		2/15/34	1/15/55					
Principal	\$500m	\$700m	\$1,100m	\$1,500m					
T-Rate									
Benchmark	3.905%	3.928%	3.922%	4.092%					
Treasury									
Spread	1.200%	1.400%	1.550%	1.750%					
Re-offer									
Yield	5.105%	5.328%	5.472%	5.842%					
Coupon Rate	5.100%	5.300%	5.450%	5.800%					
+ Issuance									
Costs	0.107%	0.090%	0.075%	0.064%					
All-In %									
Cost of									
Debt	5.212%	5.418%	5.547%	5.906%					

- Q. A portion of the securities in PacifiCorp's debt portfolio bears variable rates. What is the basis for the projected interest rates used by PacifiCorp?
- The Company's variable rate long-term debt in this case 4 5 is in the form of tax-exempt debt. Exhibit No. 2, Variable PCRB Rates, shows that on average, these 6 Pollution Control Revenue Bond securities have been 7 8 trading at approximately 85 percent of the 30-day London 9 Inter Bank Offer Rate ("LIBOR") for the period January 10 2000 through March 2024 (beginning with January 2022, 11 the Bloomberg One Month Short Term Bank Yield Index rate 12 replaced the 30-Day LIBOR as the referenced short-term 13 borrowing index rate). Therefore the Company has applied 14 a factor of 85 percent to the forward One Month Bloomberg 15 Short Term Bank Yield Index rate at each future quarter 16 end spanning the test period and then added the 17 respective credit facility and remarketing fees for each 18 floating rate tax-exempt bond. Credit facility and 19 remarketing fees are included in the interest component 20 because these are costs that contribute directly to the 2.1 interest rate on the securities and are charged to 22 interest expense. This method is consistent with past 23 practices when determining the cost of debt in previous 24 Idaho general rate cases.

- 1 Q. How did you calculate the embedded cost of preferred stock?
- 3 The embedded cost of preferred stock was calculated by first determining the cost of money for each issue. I 4 5 began by dividing the annual dividend per share by the per share net proceeds for each series of preferred 6 stock. The resulting cost rate associated with each 7 8 series was then multiplied by the total par or stated 9 value outstanding for each issue to yield the annualized 10 cost for each issue. The sum of annualized costs for each issue produces the total annual cost for the entire 11 12 preferred stock portfolio. I then divided the total annual cost by the total amount of preferred stock 13 14 outstanding to produce the weighted average cost for all issues. The result is PacifiCorp's embedded cost of 15 16 preferred stock.

17 A. Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt

- 18 Q. What is PacifiCorp's embedded cost of long-term debt?
- 19 A. The cost of long-term debt is 5.08 percent, as shown in 20 Exhibit No. 1, Pro Forma Cost of Long-Term Debt.
- B. Embedded Cost of Preferred Stock
- 22 Q. What is PacifiCorp's embedded cost of preferred stock?
- 23 A. Exhibit No. 3, Cost of Preferred Stock, shows the 24 embedded costs of preferred stock to be 6.75 percent.

- 2 Q. Please summarize your recommendations to the Commission.
- 3 I respectfully request the Commission adopt PacifiCorp's proposed capital structure with a common equity level of 4 50.00 percent. This equity ratio is reasonable when 5 compared with the equity ratios of the proxy group 6 7 companies relied upon in Company witness Bulkley's 8 testimony for the determination of the return on equity. 9 In addition, the authorization of this capital structure 10 sends an important message to the financial community 11 regarding the regulatory support for PacifiCorp. When 12 combined with the other elements of the Company's financial plan, including suspended dividends through 13 14 2028 to increase retained earnings and a restructuring of the Company's capital investments will provide the 15 16 necessary financial support and risk mitigation 17 necessary to support the Company's credit metrics and 18 credit ratings. Reviewing PacifiCorp's 19 demonstrates that a financially strong company provides 20 positive financial benefits to customers in the form of access to capital on reasonable terms, which is very 21 22 important at this point, where the capital investments necessary to achieve the Company's clean energy goals 23 24 are significant over the next several years. When 25 combined with PacifiCorp's updated cost of long-term

- debt of 5.08 percent and the cost of equity of 10.30
- 2 percent recommended by Company witness Bulkley, this
- 3 produces a reasonable overall cost of capital of 7.69
- 4 percent.
- 5 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 6 A. Yes.

Case No. PAC-E-24-04 Exhibit No. 1 Witness: Nikki L. Kobliha

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

Exhibit Accompanying Direct Testimony of Nikki L. Kobliha

Pro Forma Cost of Long-Term Debt

Rocky Mountain Power Exhibit No. 1 Page 1 of 2 Case No. PAC-E-24-04 Witness: Nikki L. Kobliha

PACIFICORP

Electric Operations Pro-Forma Cost of Long-Term Debt Summary

12 months ended December 31, 2024

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT 5QE AVE OUTSTANDING	(DISC)/PREM ISSUANCE EXPENSES	REDEMPTION EXPENSES	NET PROCEEDS TO COMPANY	ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE COST	INTEREST RATE	ALL-IN COST	ORIG LIFE	LINE NO.
1										1
2	Total First Mortgage Bonds	\$13,060,000,000	(\$148,383,147)	(\$2,073,225)	\$12,909,543,628	\$662,768,300	4.987%	5.075%	25.5	2
3										3
4	Subtotal - Pollution Control Revenue Bonds secured by FMBs	\$160,460,000	(\$4,070,193)	(\$1,745,495)	\$154,644,312	\$8,428,482	5.012%	5.253%	30.0	4
5	Subtotal - Pollution Control Revenue Bonds	\$24,400,000	(\$225,000)	(\$428,469)	\$23,746,531	\$1,280,024	5.068%	5.246%	29.9	5
6	Total Pollution Control Revenue Bonds	\$184,860,000	(\$4,295,193)	(\$2,173,964)	\$178,390,842	\$9,708,506	5.019%	5.252%	29.9	6
7										7
8	Loss on Long Term Debt Reacquistions, without Refunding					\$202,495				8
9	Total Cost of Long Term Debt	\$13,244,860,000	(\$152,678,341)	(\$4,247,189)	\$13,087,934,470	\$672,679,301	4.987%	5.079%	25.6	9
10										10

PACIFICORP Electric Operations Pro-Forma Cost of Long-Term Debt Detail 12 months ended December 31, 2024

									-	NET PROCEEDS TO	COMPANY			
						PRINCIPA	L AMOUNT	(DISC)/PREM	-	TOTAL	PER \$100			
LINE	INTEREST		ISSUANCE	MATURITY	ORIG	ORIGINAL	5QE AVE	ISSUANCE	REDEMPTION	DOLLAR	PRINCIPAL	MONEY TO	ANNUAL DEBT	LINE
NO.	RATE	DESCRIPTION	DATE	DATE	LIFE	ISSUE	OUTSTANDING	EXPENSES	EXPENSES	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	COMPANY	SERVICE COST	NO.
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(1)	(m)	
1														1
2														2
3	3.600%	Series due Apr 2024	03/13/14	04/01/24	10	\$425,000,000	\$170,000,000	(\$1,440,066)	(\$777,230)	\$167,782,705	\$98.696	3.757%	\$6,386,900	3
4	3.350%	Series due Jul 2025	06/19/15	07/01/25	10	\$250,000,000	\$250,000,000	(\$2,441,421)	\$0	\$247,558,579	\$99.023	3.466%	\$8,665,000	4
5	5.100%	Series diue Feb 2029	01/05/24	02/15/29	5	\$500,000,000	\$400,000,000	(\$2,018,174)	\$0	\$397,981,826	\$99.495	5.212%	\$20,848,000	5
6	3.500%	Series due Jun 2029	03/01/19	06/15/29	10	\$400,000,000	\$400,000,000	(\$2,874,181)	\$0 \$0	\$397,125,819	\$99.281	3.584%	\$14,336,000	6
7 8	2.700% 5.300%	Series due Sep 2030 Series diue Feb 2031	04/08/20 01/05/24	09/15/30 02/15/31	10 7	\$400,000,000 \$700,000,000	\$400,000,000 \$560,000,000	(\$2,876,791) (\$3,898,925)	\$0 \$0	\$397,123,209 \$556,101,075	\$99.281 \$99.304	2.780% 5.418%	\$11,120,000 \$30,340,800	7 8
9	7.700%	Series due Nov 2031	11/21/01	11/15/31	30	\$300,000,000	\$300,000,000	(\$3,898,923)	\$0 \$0	\$296,298,690	\$99.304 \$98.766	7.807%	\$23,421,000	9
10	5.450%	Series due Feb 2034	01/05/24	02/15/34	10	\$1,100,000,000	\$880,000,000	(\$6,617,389)	\$0	\$873,382,611	\$99.248	5.547%	\$48,813,600	10
11	5.900%	Series due Aug 2034	08/24/04	08/15/34	30	\$200,000,000	\$200,000,000	(\$2,614,365)	\$0 \$0	\$197,385,635	\$98.693	5.994%	\$11,988,000	11
12	5.250%	Series due Jun 2035	06/08/05	06/15/35	30	\$300,000,000	\$300,000,000	(\$3,992,021)	(\$1,295,995)	\$294,711,984	\$98.237	5.369%	\$16,107,000	12
13	6.100%	Series due Aug 2036	08/10/06	08/01/36	30	\$350,000,000	\$350,000,000	(\$4,048,881)	\$0	\$345,951,119	\$98.843	6.185%	\$21,647,500	13
14	5.750%	Series due Apr 2037	03/14/07	04/01/37	30	\$600,000,000	\$600,000,000	(\$613,216)	\$0	\$599,386,784	\$99.898	5.757%	\$34,542,000	14
15	6.250%	Series due Oct 2037	10/03/07	10/15/37	30	\$600,000,000	\$600,000,000	(\$5,877,281)	\$0	\$594,122,719	\$99,020	6,323%	\$37,938,000	15
16	6.350%	Series due Jul 2038	07/17/08	07/15/38	30	\$300,000,000	\$300,000,000	(\$3,961,333)	\$0	\$296,038,667	\$98.680	6.450%	\$19,350,000	16
17	6.000%	Series due Jan 2039	01/08/09	01/15/39	30	\$650,000,000	\$650,000,000	(\$12,309,687)	\$0	\$637,690,313	\$98.106	6.139%	\$39,903,500	17
18	4.100%	Series due Feb 2042	01/06/12	02/01/42	30	\$300,000,000	\$300,000,000	(\$3,724,911)	\$0	\$296,275,089	\$98.758	4.173%	\$12,519,000	18
19	4.125%	Series due Jan 2049	07/13/18	01/15/49	31	\$600,000,000	\$600,000,000	(\$6,984,085)	\$0	\$593,015,915	\$98.836	4.193%	\$25,158,000	19
20	4.150%	Series due Feb 2050	03/01/19	02/15/50	31	\$600,000,000	\$600,000,000	(\$7,938,771)	\$0	\$592,061,229	\$98.677	4.227%	\$25,362,000	20
21	3.300%	Series due Mar 2051	04/08/20	03/15/51	31	\$600,000,000	\$600,000,000	(\$10,127,937)	\$0	\$589,872,063	\$98.312	3.388%	\$20,328,000	21
22	2.900%	Series due June 2052	07/09/21	06/15/52	31	\$1,000,000,000	\$1,000,000,000	(\$16,599,374)	\$0	\$983,400,626	\$98.340	2.982%	\$29,820,000	22
23	5.350%	Series due Dec 2053	12/01/22	12/01/53	31	\$1,100,000,000	\$1,100,000,000	(\$13,292,772)	\$0	\$1,086,707,228	\$98.792	5.431%	\$59,741,000	23
24	5.500%	Series due May 2054	05/17/23	05/15/54	31	\$1,200,000,000	\$1,200,000,000	(\$11,540,279)	\$0	\$1,188,459,721	\$99.038	5.565%	\$66,780,000	24
25	5.800%	Series diue Jan 2055	01/05/24	01/15/55	31	\$1,500,000,000	\$1,200,000,000	(\$17,985,513)	\$0	\$1,182,014,487	\$98.501	5.906%	\$70,872,000	25
26	4.974%	Subtotal - Bullet FMBs			25		\$12,960,000,000	(\$147,478,681)	(\$2,073,225)	\$12,810,448,094		5.062%	\$655,987,300	26
27														27
28	6.710%	Series G due Jan 2026	01/23/96	01/15/26	30	\$100,000,000	\$100,000,000	(\$904,467)	\$0	\$99,095,533	\$99.096	6.781%	\$6,781,000	28
29	6.710%	Subtotal - Series G MTNs			30		\$100,000,000	(\$904,467)	\$0	\$99,095,533		6.781%	\$6,781,000	29
30 31	4.987%	Total First Montages Bonds			26		612 060 000 000	(\$148,383,147)	(\$2,073,225)	£12 000 £42 £29		5.075%	\$662,768,300	30 31
32	4.98/%	Total First Mortgage Bonds			26		\$13,060,000,000	(\$148,383,147)	(\$2,073,225)	\$12,909,543,628		5.0/5%	3002,708,300	32
33														33
34	5.014%	Converse 94 due Nov 2024	11/17/94	11/01/24	30	\$8,190,000	\$6,552,000	(\$167,822)	(\$69,058)	\$6,315,119	\$96.385	5.254%	\$344,242	34
35	4.982%	Emery 94 due Nov 2024	11/17/94	11/01/24	30	\$121,940,000	\$97,552,000	(\$2,619,397)	(\$1,540,614)	\$93,391,990	\$95.736	5.265%	\$5,136,113	35
36	5.124%	Lincoln 94 due Nov 2024	11/17/94	11/01/24	30	\$15,060,000	\$12,048,000	(\$338,286)	(\$65,142)	\$11,644,572	\$96.651	5.349%	\$644,448	36
37	5.048%	Sweetwater 94 due Nov 2024	11/17/94	11/01/24	30	\$21,260,000	\$17,008,000	(\$408,383)	(\$70,682)	\$16,528,935	\$97.183	5.235%	\$890,369	37
38	4.964%	Converse 95 due Nov 2025	11/17/95	11/01/25	30	\$5,300,000	\$5,300,000	(\$132,043)	\$0	\$5,167,957	\$97.509	5.127%	\$271,731	38
39	5.068%	Lincoln 95 due Nov 2025	11/17/95	11/01/25	30	\$22,000,000	\$22,000,000	(\$404,262)	\$0	\$21,595,738	\$98,162	5.189%	\$1,141,580	39
40	5.012%	Subtotal - Secured PCRBs			30	,,	\$160,460,000	(\$4,070,193)	(\$1,745,495)	\$154,644,312		5.253%	\$8,428,482	40
41								(-)))	(4) -))					41
42	5.068%	Sweetwater 95 due Nov 2025	12/14/95	11/01/25	30	\$24,400,000	\$24,400,000	(\$225,000)	(\$428,469)	\$23,746,531	\$97.322	5.246%	\$1,280,024	42
43	5.068%	Subtotal - Unsecured PCRBs			30		\$24,400,000	(\$225,000)	(\$428,469)	\$23,746,531		5.246%	\$1,280,024	43
44														44
45	5.019%	Total PCRB Obligations			30		\$184,860,000	(\$4,295,193)	(\$2,173,964)	\$178,390,842		5.252%	\$9,708,506	45
46														46
47			REACQ	ORG MAT										47
48			DATE	DATE										48
49		8.375% Series A QUIDS	11/17/00	06/30/35									\$107,887	49
50		8.55% Series B QUIDS	11/17/00	12/31/25									\$84,084	50
51		Carbon '94 PCRB Series	02/18/16	11/01/24									\$10,524	51
52		Long-Term Debt Reacquisition, with	iout refunding amo	ruzation									\$202,495	52
53 54	4.987%	Total Long Town Dobt			26		612 244 960 000	(6152 (70 241)	(64 247 100)	612 007 024 470		5.079%	9672 670 201	53 54
55	4.98/%	Total Long-Term Debt			26		\$13,244,860,000	(\$152,678,341)	(\$4,247,189)	\$13,087,934,470		5.0/9%	\$672,679,301	54 55
33														رر

Case No. PAC-E-24-04 Exhibit No. 2 Witness: Nikki L. Kobliha

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

Exhibit Accompanying Direct Testimony of Nikki L. Kobliha

Variable PCRB Rates

	Forward 1 Mo BSBY Index ² (a)	Historical Floating Rate PCRB / 30 Day LIBOR ¹ (b)	Forecast Floating Rate PCRB (a) x (b)
	` '		
12/31/2023 3/31/2024			5.271% ³ 4.277% ³
6/30/2024	5.37%	85% 85%	4.560% 4.499%
9/30/2024 12/31/2024	5.29% 5.22%	85% 85%	4.440%
5QE Ave			4.609%
	30 Day LIBOR ¹	Floating Rate	PCRB/
	Daily Ave (a)	PCRBs Daily Ave (b)	LIBOR (b)/(a)
	(a)	(6)	(b)/(a)
Jan-00 Feb-00	5.81% 5.89%	3.33% 3.62%	57% 62%
Mar-00	6.05%	3.68%	61%
Apr-00	6.16%	4.02% 4.89%	65% 75%
May-00 Jun-00	6.54% 6.65%	4.35%	65%
Jul-00	6.63%	3.99%	60%
Aug-00 Sep-00	6.62% 6.62%	4.09% 4.50%	62% 68%
Oct-00	6.62%	4.36%	66%
Nov-00 Dec-00	6.63% 6.68%	4.33% 4.14%	65% 62%
Jan-01	5.88%	3.10%	53%
Feb-01	5.53%	3.59%	65%
Mar-01 Apr-01	5.13% 4.82%	3.18% 3.72%	62% 77%
May-01	4.16%	3.38%	81%
Jun-01 Jul-01	3.92% 3.82%	3.03% 2.65%	77% 69%
Aug-01	3.64%	2.36%	65%
Sep-01	3.17%	2.42%	76%
Oct-01 Nov-01	2.48% 2.13%	2.18% 1.79%	88% 84%
Dec-01	1.96%	1.64%	84%
Jan-02 Feb-02	1.81% 1.85%	1.49% 1.39%	82% 75%
Mar-02	1.85%	1.46%	77%
Apr-02	1.86%	1.58%	85%
May-02 Jun-02	1.84% 1.84%	1.67% 1.58%	91% 86%
Jul-02	1.83%	1.49%	81%
Aug-02 Sep-02	1.80% 1.82%	1.49% 1.69%	83% 93%
Oct-02	1.81%	1.84%	102%
Nov-02	1.44%	1.66%	115%
Dec-02 Jan-03	1.42% 1.36%	1.57% 1.40%	110% 103%
Feb-03	1.34%	1.43%	107%
Mar-03 Apr-03	1.31% 1.31%	1.45% 1.52%	111% 115%
May-03	1.31%	1.56%	119%
Jun-03 Jul-03	1.16% 1.11%	1.38% 1.12%	119% 102%
Aug-03	1.11%	1.16%	104%
Sep-03	1.12% 1.12%	1.24% 1.24%	111% 111%
Oct-03 Nov-03	1.12%	1.36%	121%
Dec-03	1.15%	1.32%	114%
Jan-04 Feb-04	1.11% 1.10%	1.21% 1.17%	110% 107%
Mar-04	1.09%	1.20%	110%
Apr-04 May-04	1.10% 1.10%	1.27% 1.29%	115% 117%
Jun-04	1.25%	1.28%	102%
Jul-04 Aug-04	1.41% 1.60%	1.26% 1.40%	89% 88%
Sep-04	1.78%	1.49%	83%
Oct-04 Nov-04	1.90% 2.19%	1.72% 1.65%	91% 75%
Dec-04	2.19%	1.67%	70%
Jan-05	2.49%	1.78%	72%
Feb-05 Mar-05	2.61% 2.81%	1.88% 1.95%	72% 69%
Apr-05	2.97%	2.50%	84%
May-05 Jun-05	3.09% 3.25%	2.93% 2.39%	95% 74%
Jul-05	3.43%	2.28%	67%
Aug-05	3.69%	2.44%	66%
Sep-05 Oct-05	3.78% 3.99%	2.55% 2.66%	68% 67%
Nov-05	4.15%	2.93%	71%
Dec-05 Jan-06	4.36% 4.48%	3.10% 3.02%	71% 67%
Feb-06	4.58%	3.13%	68%
Mar-06	4.76%	3.11%	65% 70%
Apr-06 May-06	4.92% 5.08%	3.45% 3.52%	69%
Jun-06	5.24%	3.74%	71%
Jul-06 Aug-06	5.37% 5.35%	3.60% 3.53%	67% 66%
Sep-06	5.33%	3.61%	68%
Oct-06 Nov-06	5.32% 5.32%	3.57% 3.62%	67% 68%
Nov-06 Dec-06	5.35%	3.70%	68% 69%

Rocky Mountain Power Exhibit No. 2 Page 2 of 4 Case No. PAC-E-24-04 Witness: Nikki L. Kobliha

	Forward 1 Mo BSBY Index ² (a)	Historical Floating Rate PCRB / 30 Day LIBOR ¹ (b)	Forecast Floating Rate PCRB (a) x (b)
12/31/2023			5.271% 3
3/31/2024			4.277% 3
6/30/2024	5.37%	85%	4.560%
9/30/2024 12/31/2024	5.29% 5.22%	85% 85%	4.499% 4.440%
5QE Ave	3.2270	0370	4.609%
	30 Day LIBOR ¹ Daily Ave (a)	Floating Rate PCRBs Daily Ave (b)	PCRB / LIBOR (b)/(a)
Jan-07	5.32%	3.64%	68%
Feb-07	5.32%	3.63%	68%
Mar-07	5.32%	3.64%	68%
Apr-07 May-07	5.32% 5.32%	3.79% 3.90%	71% 73%
Jun-07	5.32%	3.76%	71%
Jul-07	5.32%	3.66%	69%
Aug-07	5.52% 5.48%	3.76% 3.84%	68% 70%
Sep-07 Oct-07	4.98%	3.56%	72%
Nov-07	4.75%	3.53%	74%
Dec-07	5.00%	3.25%	65%
Jan-08 Feb-08	3.95% 3.14%	3.02% 2.86%	76% 91%
Mar-08	2.80%	3.79%	135%
Apr-08	2.79%	2.23%	80%
May-08 Jun-08	2.63% 2.47%	1.93%	73% 112%
Jun-08 Jul-08	2.46%	2.77% 4.12%	168%
Aug-08	2.47%	3.03%	123%
Sep-08	2.94%	4.57%	155%
Oct-08 Nov-08	3.87% 1.68%	4.89% 2.34%	126% 139%
Dec-08	1.01%	1.02%	101%
Jan-09	0.39%	0.70%	181%
Feb-09 Mar-09	0.46%	0.68%	147%
Apr-09	0.53% 0.45%	0.66% 0.63%	124% 140%
May-09	0.35%	0.53%	153%
Jun-09	0.32%	0.45%	143%
Jul-09 Aug-09	0.29% 0.27%	0.41% 0.43%	142% 158%
Sep-09	0.25%	0.40%	161%
Oct-09	0.24%	0.39%	159%
Nov-09	0.24%	0.37%	157%
Dec-09 Jan-10	0.23% 0.23%	0.38% 0.32%	165% 138%
Feb-10	0.23%	0.32%	137%
Mar-10	0.24%	0.32%	135%
Apr-10 May-10	0.26% 0.33%	0.35% 0.34%	134% 101%
Jun-10	0.35%	0.33%	93%
Jul-10	0.33%	0.30%	90%
Aug-10	0.27%	0.31%	115%
Sep-10 Oct-10	0.26% 0.26%	0.31% 0.27%	119% 106%
Nov-10	0.25%	0.27%	107%
Dec-10	0.26%	0.29%	110%
Jan-11 Feb-11	0.26%	0.26% 0.26%	100% 98%
Mar-11	0.25%	0.24%	96%
Apr-11	0.22%	0.24%	106%
May-11 Jun-11	0.20%	0.20% 0.12%	100% 62%
Jul-11	0.19%	0.07%	38%
Aug-11	0.21%	0.18%	83%
Sep-11	0.23% 0.24%	0.18% 0.17%	78% 69%
Oct-11 Nov-11	0.24%	0.17%	70%
Dec-11	0.28%	0.18%	62%
Jan-12	0.28%	0.18%	64%
Feb-12 Mar-12	0.25% 0.24%	0.22% 0.20%	86% 84%
Apr-12	0.24%	0.25%	104%
May-12	0.24%	0.22%	90%
Jun-12	0.24% 0.25%	0.19% 0.17%	78% 68%
Jul-12 Aug-12	0.24%	0.17%	68%
Sep-12	0.22%	0.18%	81%
Oct-12	0.21%	0.20%	93%
Nov-12 Dec-12	0.21% 0.21%	0.20% 0.15%	95% 71%
Jan-13	0.21%	0.15%	51%
Feb-13	0.20%	0.13%	63%
Mar-13	0.20%	0.13%	66%
Apr-13 May-13	0.20% 0.20%	0.18% 0.18%	92% 90%
Jun-13	0.19%	0.11%	57%
Jul-13	0.19%	0.08%	43%
Aug-13	0.18% 0.18%	0.09% 0.09%	47% 49%
Sep-13 Oct-13	0.18%	0.09%	61%
Nov-13	0.17%	0.13%	78%
Dec-13	0.17%	0.14%	82%

Rocky Mountain Power Exhibit No. 2 Page 3 of 4 Case No. PAC-E-24-04 Witness: Nikki L. Kobliha

	Forward 1 Mo BSBY Index ² (a)	Historical Floating Rate PCRB / 30 Day LIBOR ¹ (b)	Forecast Floating Rate PCRB (a) x (b)
	` '		
12/31/2023 3/31/2024			5.271% ³ 4.277% ³
6/30/2024 9/30/2024	5.37%	85% 85%	4.560% 4.499%
9/30/2024 12/31/2024	5.29% 5.22%	85% 85%	4.440%
5QE Ave			4.609%
	30 Day LIBOR ¹	Floating Rate	PCRB/
	Daily Ave (a)	PCRBs Daily Ave (b)	(b)/(a)
Jan-14 Feb-14	0.16% 0.16%	0.12% 0.11%	74% 74%
Mar-14	0.15%	0.11%	73%
Apr-14 May-14	0.15% 0.15%	0.13% 0.12%	87% 80%
Jun-14	0.15%	0.12%	67%
Jul-14	0.15%	0.09%	61% 61%
Aug-14 Sep-14	0.16% 0.15%	0.09% 0.09%	55%
Oct-14	0.15%	0.08%	55%
Nov-14 Dec-14	0.15% 0.16%	0.09% 0.08%	59% 50%
Jan-15	0.17%	0.06%	38%
Feb-15 Mar-15	0.17% 0.18%	0.06% 0.06%	36% 35%
Apr-15	0.18%	0.09%	50%
May-15	0.18%	0.15%	79% 69%
Jun-15 Jul-15	0.19% 0.19%	0.13% 0.10%	55%
Aug-15	0.20%	0.09%	46%
Sep-15 Oct-15	0.20% 0.19%	0.09% 0.10%	47% 50%
Nov-15	0.21%	0.09%	45%
Dec-15 Jan-16	0.35% 0.43%	0.08% 0.09%	24% 20%
Feb-16	0.43%	0.08%	20%
Mar-16	0.44%	0.19% 0.41%	45% 94%
Apr-16 May-16	0.44%	0.41%	93%
Jun-16	0.45%	0.43%	95% 89%
Jul-16 Aug-16	0.48% 0.51%	0.43% 0.49%	89% 96%
Sep-16	0.53%	0.71%	134%
Oct-16 Nov-16	0.53% 0.56%	0.77% 0.58%	146% 103%
Dec-16	0.71%	0.66%	93%
Jan-17 Feb-17	0.77% 0.78%	0.69% 0.66%	89% 84%
Mar-17	0.93%	0.71%	77%
Apr-17 May-17	0.99% 1.01%	0.90% 0.82%	91% 81%
Jun-17	1.17%	0.83%	71%
Jul-17 Aug-17	1.23% 1.23%	0.85% 0.79%	69% 65%
Sep-17	1.23%	0.87%	71%
Oct-17 Nov-17	1.24% 1.29%	0.93% 0.96%	75% 75%
Dec-17	1.49%	1.25%	84%
Jan-18	1.56%	1.35%	86% 69%
Feb-18 Mar-18	1.60% 1.80%	1.10% 1.32%	73%
Apr-18	1.90%	1.75%	92% 75%
May-18 Jun-18	1.95% 2.07%	1.46% 1.33%	64%
Jul-18	2.08%	1.10%	53%
Aug-18 Sep-18	2.07% 2.18%	1.53% 1.56%	74% 72%
Oct-18	2.29%	1.60%	70%
Nov-18 Dec-18	2.32% 2.45%	1.69% 1.70%	73% 69%
Jan-19	2.51%	1.43%	57%
Feb-19 Mar-19	2.49% 2.49%	1.64% 1.67%	66% 67%
Apr-19	2.48%	1.90%	77%
May-19 Jun-19	2.44% 2.40%	1.72% 1.79%	70% 74%
Jul-19	2.31%	1.45%	63%
Aug-19 Sep-19	2.17% 2.04%	1.45% 1.48%	67% 72%
Sep-19 Oct-19	1.88%	1.41%	75%
Nov-19	1.74%	1.18%	68%
Dec-19 Jan-20	1.75% 1.67%	1.34% 1.10%	77% 66%
Feb-20	1.64%	1.21%	74%
Mar-20 Apr-20		2.68% 0.85%	292% 124%
May-20	0.19%	0.27%	139%
Jun-20 Jul-20	0.18% 0.17%	0.19% 0.21%	102% 125%
Aug-20	0.16%	0.17%	106%
Sep-20		0.16% 0.17%	108% 116%
Oct-20 Nov-20	0.14%	0.17%	121%
Dec-20	0.15%	0.15%	100%

	Forward 1 Mo BSBY Index ² (a)	Historical Floating Rate PCRB / 30 Day LIBOR ¹ (b)	Forecast Floating Rate PCRB (a) x (b)
12/31/2023			5.271% 3
3/31/2024			4.277% 3
6/30/2024	5.37%	85%	4.560%
9/30/2024	5.29%	85%	4.499%
12/31/2024		85%	4.440%
5QE Ave	•		4.609%
	30 Day LIBOR ¹	Floating Rate	PCRB /
	Daily Ave	PCRBs Daily Ave	LIBOR
	(a)	(b)	(b)/(a)
Jan-21	0.13%	0.11%	85%
Feb-21	0.11%	0.06%	56%
Mar-21	0.11%	0.07%	70%
Apr-21	0.11%	0.10%	91%
May-21	0.10%	0.11%	113%
Jun-21	0.09%	0.07%	76%
Jul-21	0.09%	0.05%	54%
Aug-21	0.09%	0.04%	46%
Sep-21	0.08%	0.04%	50% 92%
Oct-21 Nov-21	0.09%	0.08% 0.08%	92% 89%
Dec-21	0.09%	0.08%	106%
Jan-22	0.10%	0.08%	95%
Feb-22	0.10%	0.19%	184%
Mar-22	0.32%	0.37%	115%
Apr-22	0.47%	0.52%	112%
May-22	0.83%	0.76%	91%
Jun-22	1.32%	0.85%	64%
Jul-22	1.92%	0.93%	49%
Aug-22	2.36%	1.77%	75%
Sep-22	2.84%	1.78%	62%
Oct-22	3.32%	2.46%	74%
Nov-22	3.85%	2.14%	56%
Dec-22	4.21%	3.18%	76%
Jan-23	4.41%	2.58%	58% 74%
Feb-23 Mar-23	4.62% 4.75%	3.40% 3.18%	67%
Apr-23	4.73%	3.21%	65%
May-23	5.10%	3.41%	67%
Jun-23	5.19%	3.63%	70%
Jul-23	5.26%	3.32%	63%
Aug-23	5.38%	3.72%	69%
Sep-23	5.39%	3.88%	72%
Oct-23	5.38%	3.86%	72%
Nov-23	5.41%	3.75%	69%
Dec-23	5.43%	5.01%	92%
Jan-24	5.37%	4.11%	76%
Feb-24	5.37%	4.02%	75%
Mar-24	5.37%	4.02%	75%
Average			85%

¹Beginning with Jan 2022, the Bloomberg 1-Month Short Term Bank Yield ²Source: Bloomberg L.P. (4/30/24) ³Actual Wt Ave Quarter-End PCRB Remarketing Rate

Case No. PAC-E-24-04 Exhibit No. 3 Witness: Nikki L. Kobliha

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

Exhibit Accompanying Direct Testimony of Nikki L. Kobliha

Cost of Preferred Stock

Rocky Mountain Power Exhibit No. 3 Page 1 of 1 Case No. PAC-E-24-04 Witness: Nikki L. Kobliha

PACIFICORP Electric Operations Cost of Preferred Stock 12 Months Ended December 31, 2024

Line No.	Description of Issue	Issuance Date	Call Price	Annual Dividend Rate	Shares O/S	Total Par or Stated Value O/S	Net Premium & (Expense)	Net Proceeds to Company	% of Gross Proceeds	Cost of Money	Annual Cost	Line No.
110.	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	110.
1 2 3 4	Serial Preferred, \$100 Par Value 7.00% Series 6.00% Series	(a) (a)	None None	7.000% 6.000%	18,046 5,930	\$1,804,600 \$593,000	(b) (b)	\$1,804,600 \$593,000	100.000% 100.000%	7.000% 6.000%	\$126,322 \$35,580	1 2 3 4
5	Total Cost of Preferred Stock			6.753%	23,976	\$2,397,600	\$0	\$2,397,600	-	6.753%	\$161,902	5
6 7 8	(a) These issues replaced an issue of The California O	regon Power Co	ompany as a	result of the me	erger of that Co	mpany into Pacific	e Power & Light C	Co.	=			6 7 8
9 10	(b) Original issue expense/premium has been fully am	ortized or exper	nsed.				_					9 10